



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/077,922	02/19/2002	Jeffrey L. Helfer	BTI-18	6499
27157	7590	11/24/2004	EXAMINER	
GREENWALD & BASCH, LLP 349 WEST COMMERCIAL STREET, SUITE 2490 EAST ROCHESTER, NY 14445			GETZOW, SCOTT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C8

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/077,922	HELPET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Scott M. Getzow	3762

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 August 2004.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-111 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1-48 and 70-100 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 49, 101-111 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 50-69 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 49,101,102 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shlomo (6272371).

Shlomo shows magnetic coils 28,30 which are connected to the proximal end of the probe by electrical wire 34. As taught in col. 6, lines 25-31, the coils generate signals that are sent to a control circuit 36 that emits control signals. To use an amplifier, although not explicitly shown, would be considered to be inherent/obvious in that signals sensed would have to be amplified before signal processing can take place in circuitry 36, since they are usually too weak to be manipulated without proper amplification. Further, in the medical arts sensed signals from implanted probes are commonly amplified in order to provide a clearer signal for processing by circuitry. Regarding claim 49, the term 'photonic lead' is interpreted as not necessarily limited to leads that have fiber optics inside them. That is, the term 'photonic' does not by itself limit the structure of the claim.

2. Claims 103-105 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shlomo in view of Tsitlik et al (5217010).

Tsitlik teaches shielded leads as well as electrical line filters that remove unwanted signals due to interference. To use such with the device of Shlomo would have been obvious since such allows for the proper signals to be sensed, instead of interference.

3. Claims 106-111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shlomo and Tsitlik, and further in view of Luo et al (article titled 'Electromagnetic interference shielding using...').

Luo describes using metal, polymer, and carbon as effective for shielding. It would have been obvious to use such with the device of Shlomo and Tsitlik since such materials have proven effective in preventing unwanted interference due to electrical noise.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-48,70-100 are allowed.

5. Claims 50-69 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (571) 272-4946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Scott M. Getzow
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3762

Smg